

~~TOP SECRET~~

25X1A

**D R A F T**

**NRO REVIEW COMPLETED**

**MSO:jh**

**23-26 July 1965**

Copy \_\_\_ of \_\_\_

26 July 1965

**MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Science & Technology**

**SUBJECT : Impressions Derived from the Land Panel Meeting, 21 July 1965**

1. The overall general impression I received from the many briefings was that probably very little was added to the information most of the Panel already possessed. The limited time allowed per presentation further inhibited the degree of new data given. On the whole, the questions reflected the basically good background knowledge of the Panel members. It was rather difficult to obtain an appreciation of what has occurred since February 1965 in some of the programs since most briefers appeared constrained to use large parts of their allotted time for general system descriptions. These comments do not strictly apply to [ ] M Prime and ISINGLASS.

25X1D

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

~~TOP SECRET~~

HANDLE VIA [ ]

CHANNELS ONLY

25X1A


**TOP SECRET**

Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP80B01138A000100100001-2

25X1A

Page 2

25X1D



3. The second apparent incongruity comes from looking at

NR  
25X1



In ISINGLASS the

manned version is

of dollars less than an

unmanned version. The briefings did not pinpoint and clarify this apparent

**TOP SECRET**

**HANDLE VIA  
COMINTS ONLY**

Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP80B01138A000100100001-2

25X1A

**TOP SECRET**

Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP80B01138A000100100001-2

25X1A

**Page 3**

25X1D



4. The presentation by Brig. Gen. Stewart was illuminating since it did not quite match my understanding of even current procedures, much less the agreed upon and regulated ones. As an example, the approval for overflight of denied areas by SAC forces was not in any way related to the 303 Committee -- rather, the implication was that JRC or JCS had approval authority. Another example was the repeated and rather pointed referral to the NRO staff as being Stewart's rather than McMillan's. The position and relative authority

**TOP SECRET**

**HANDLE VIA**

**CHANNELS ONLY**

Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP80B01138A000100100001-2

25X1A

25X1A

Page 4

25X1A

of DDS&T, the [redacted] director and DNRO also was somewhat mixed up on the charts. To anyone who knows, this might not be serious but to relative outsiders, like perhaps J. Baker and Don Ling, it must be judged to be deceptive.

5. Though the quality and content of the various presentations varied rather greatly, I did not get the feeling that these differences would be of significance to any Panel recommendations, conclusions

25X1D

**TOP SECRET**


Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP80B01138A000100100001-2

25X1A




Page 5

25X1D



25X1A



Chief, Systems Analysis Staff

25X1A

**HANDLE VIA**



**CHANNELS ONLY**

**TOP SECRET**

Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP80B01138A000100100001-2

Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP80B01138A000100100001-2

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM TOP  
UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL SECRET

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP

TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	EO/DD/S&T		
2	DD/S&T		
3			
4			
5			
6			

<input type="checkbox"/>	ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	DIRECT REPLY	<input type="checkbox"/>	PREPARE REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/>	DISPATCH	<input type="checkbox"/>	RECOMMENDATION
<input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	FILE	<input type="checkbox"/>	RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/>	INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	SIGNATURE

Remarks:

Bud -

Attached is my general evaluation of the  
PSAC Recon Panel Meeting of 21 July 1965.

SIGNED

Max

FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER

FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO.

DATE

27 July 65